

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/254,769	BEAMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VINH P. NGUYEN	2829	

All Participants:

(1) VINH P. NGUYEN.

(2) Mr. Beck.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner discussed with Mr. Beck about missing Figure #9. Mr. Beck agreed to resubmit this missing figure # 9 in the same day of the interview (08/11/2006)

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Nguyen, Vinh

From: Thomas A. Beck [tombeck.atty@mindspring.com]

Sent: Friday, August 11, 2006 9:18 AM

To: Nguyen, Vinh

Subject: Figure 9 Serial No. 09/254,475

Mr Nguyen: Enclosed is Figure 9 which you indicated was missing from your files. Thomas A. Beck

Thomas A. Beck
tombeck.atty@mindspring.com
Why Wait? Move to EarthLink.

8/11/2006